Approved For Release 2004/01/15: CIA-RDP80R01731R003500200050-1

9 April 1918

MESKORANDON FOR: THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Subject:

Panel on human Relations

and Morale

l. At the invitation of the Panel on Human Relations and formus of the Counittee on Human Resources (RDH), the Subcommittee for Special Studies and Evaluations not today with the Panel for a oppleren a go research and development in psychological warfare. Attached is the agendum of the conference. The conference was chaired by Dr. Billari of the Carnegia Corporation, New York City, who immediately onlied apen Dr. Lanier to give a brist on the previous meeting of this brown which was held last October.

- 2. Dr. Lander mentioned that the previous conference was arry informal and that the essence of the dispussion was that the Cormittans on Manan Relations and Morale should face the problem that them experien no systematic plan for research in the paychological warders fix id. Among the critical problems outlined by the Human Resources Com ittee for the Countities on Human Relations and Borals was the following: "Strategic Services Including Methods of Collecting Intelligence." They felt that the problem was such broader than just military an nature. Dr. Lanier schied that the Essenich and Development Hours sust prosess. a yearly plan to the Secretary of Defense, and that the present plan, which is "Top Secret", includes the subject of Recember in the Field of Paychological Harfare. He susmerized his comments by stating that the basic quantion involved was whether it was feasible for the hervice Departments, in conjunction with others, to make thorough research studies in this field of Human Relations and Merals. He said that a long-range study should be presented to the Board. If the undertaking is worth-while, then the Panel should be prepared to suggest the prestural possibilities of launching such a program. Postage seme millions of dollars should be set aside for the undertaking, and meyor it mi int assume proportions somewhat akin to the Manhattan Project.
- 3. The Chairman thanked Dr. Lander and added that the research in question involved sethodology as well as operart. He then calle on Mr. Block for a statement, Mr. Blank did an excellent job of shadowboxing and concluded some bread observations with the comment that the

OSD & DOS review(s) completed.

Approved For Release 20 1131R003500200050-1

field of our Subcommittee had not been completely defined. The Character man then asked Mr. Block if the SANACC Subcommittee had undown ken review of psychological warfare literature of Morid War II, or whe me to his knowledge, any other agency in the Government had done his. Mr. Block answered both parts of this question in the negative.

- a susmary of his present undertakings in this field. Dr. Lill, station that his present task was mostly historical, bringing tagether shad the done and how it was done. For the time being, he is not evaluating the benefits or shortcomings of the various undertakings. For the future, he intends to enlist the aid of actual operators and with their heids will develop an appendix of the shertcomings in procedures and appendix. The Chairman then called on Dr. Spier to present his views.
- 5. Dr. Spier stated that in his estimation there were many furthmental parts to the problem which must be segregated to clarify the thinking processes. To indicate what he had in mind, he posed several questions:
 - a. Is it the intent of the Panel to go into the intelligence phases first? This he felt was fundamental to assertain what information must be gotten and how it must be collected.
 - b. Is it the intent of the Panel to face the administration problems? Who does what?
 - e. The relationship between military warfare and pare closies. warfare must be clarified and recognised.
 - d. How much psychological warfare is desirable in the of peace when there is no shooting? He observed that much is being corried on in this field today, but what about an overall dans He felt that there was a lack of ammreness by operators on the part they were playing in the total picture. He then aske whether there was any overall objective in mind.
 - e. He felt that there should be a distribution between the defensive aspects of this problem to guard against weakness as a morals on the home front, and the offensive phases to be used against the enemy abroad. This question takes in the degrees a consorming and a host of other problems.
 - f. Dr. Spier concluded by stating that psychological variable in Norld Ears I and II was of the "hit and miss" method to a great extent, and given mostly to the distribution of news. He agreed that this was a very important part of the total operation, but that the core of the problem. He felt that there must be a study

of the best may of coordinating the overall policy, that is, the national political objective with actual operations. He felt that we must get may from the "department of tricks" a d "operation back-talk." Thus he emphasized that there wust be a definition of goals, recognising that in peace-time we strive for a just and lasting peace and that when the shooting war breaks out, all afforts are directed toward similar the war. He said it is either political warfase (waging our for the sympathy of people over a large part of the earth) or just "open of tricks" approach, a hit-end-miss undoordinated activity.

- 6. The Chairman select Mr. Block if the Agencies had agreed to the parts each should play in an overall plan. The answer was no
- 7. The Chairman then asked whether the Agencies would welcome an enalysis of what should be the method and the content for each in the total picture. Mr. Block answered in the affirmative, but said that the Agencies may not accept it. The Chairman then called on Dr. assetted of Yale.
- was what are the objectives and how are they to be aphieved. In our democracy national objectives within our framework of national policy are defined by our top legislators. Thus he felt that we should face the issue of attempting to suggest ways of phrasing our objectives which would make them acceptable to top military and divilian efficials so the court tetal national policy will be stable. In such a presentation, it would be measurery to make assumptions of possible and probable happenings. Assumptions as to whether wer will happen advertisably or insovertisably will sold different concepts as to the type of national policy that till govern under different circumstances. Unless our goal is clear, all the is "by chance or by tricks."
- 9. The Chairman then called on Dr. Likert of the University of Michigan. Dr. Likert felt that the total question was divided into two parts one the intelligence, and the other the action. Se felt that in the field of intelligence it was easier to achieve coordination, but he streamed the need for total integration. He said that through therefore going intelligence, the Panel should know what was going on in neutral, friendly and ensur countries, and what was happening to all elast its if population in each one of these categories. He felt, however, that it was difficulty to schieve coordination on the action side. He attributed this difficulty to the price inherent in each operator that he is doing his job in the best way.



- 10. The Chairman then asked Mr. Block whether he fult that there should be a Sub-panel on Psychological Marfarc. Mr. Chair said that he was not in a position to answer this question shall the whole picture was in the process of evolution.
- Il. Dr. Libert then observed that one of the strongest not oca of implementing EIP is in the field of psychological warfare. A sin Dr. Libert stressed that the right hand must know what the help and is doing. He said that OSS had much information obtained from 4 : smalymis of various types of letters axi that J-2, in many instances, did not have this information.
- 12. The Chairman observed that the Fanal, of course, must not be a pressure grap, but must have as its objective assistance to operators. Ir. Likert said that his observations were made with this view in mind.
- 13. The conference adjourned with the Chairman thanking in Director attending with his full Sub-committee.

25X1

MBMERRA, ICAPS

25X1

Attachment - Agenda (Pauel on Human Pelations and Morals) -9 April 1948

SMacC/mll
cc: (sent 4/12)

Approved For Release 2004/01/15 CIA-RDP80R01731R003500200050-1

25X1